

Latest Progress in IPCC Methodology for Estimating the Extent of PFC Greenhouse Gases Co-evolved in the Aluminium Reduction Cell and Challenges in Reducing these Emissions

David Wong¹, Barry Welch², Pernelle Nunez³, Lukas Dion⁴ and Alexey Spirin⁵

1. Manager Project Delivery & Principal Engineer Environmental, Light Metals Research Centre, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand
2. Consultant, Welbank Consulting Ltd, Whitianga, New Zealand
3. Manager – Sustainability, International Aluminium Institute, London, UK.
4. Research Scientist, Arvida Research and Development Centre, Rio Tinto, Jonquière, QC, Canada.
5. Head of Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Regulation Section, UC RUSAL, Moscow, Russia.

Corresponding author: david.wong@auckland.ac.nz

Abstract



In recent years, the primary aluminium industry has increasingly found that perfluorocarbon (PFC) greenhouse gases can be co-evolved in reduction cells during normal operation, i.e. without an anode effect (AE) that is typically defined as when cell voltage exceeds 8 V. Other than during an AE, PFC co-evolution rates are invariably low. However, because of the long duration of these ‘low voltage’ emissions they can contribute as much as 90 % of a smelter’s total PFC output, depending on cell technology, practices and operating conditions. These emissions help explain some of the discrepancy between ‘top-down’ global atmospheric estimates of PFCs vs. ‘bottom-up’ industry estimates via the International Aluminium Institute (IAI). To provide a more complete estimate of total greenhouse gas emissions, the Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change (IPCC) is updating its PFC accounting guidelines for the aluminium industry, covering both anode effect and low voltage emissions – respectively termed ‘high voltage anode effect’ (HVAE) and ‘low voltage anode effect’ (LVAE) emissions in the guidelines. The following paper summarises some of the latest progress in this. While the updated 2019 IPCC Refinements provides an important first step in estimating all PFCs co-evolved in the aluminium reduction cell, this paper also explores some of the challenges that remain – firstly in finding a more scientific basis to estimate low voltage PFCs for future IPCC methodologies; and secondly but more importantly, for smelters to determine strategies to detect and mitigate low voltage emissions when they occur.

Keywords: Perfluorocarbons, greenhouse gas emissions, IPCC methodology, anode effect PFCs, low voltage PFCs.

1. Introduction

This article discusses the latest progress and challenges in the global effort to account for PFCs from aluminium smelting, particularly with the inclusion of low voltage PFCs (termed ‘low-voltage anode effects’ or LVAEs) in updated greenhouse gas (GHG) accounting guidelines from the Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change (IPCC). It follows on from a detailed investigation of the fundamental mechanisms and underlying causes of all PFC coevolution – from anode effects (AEs) and during ‘normal’ cell operations (low voltage PFCs) in two ICSOBA articles by Welch et al. (“Part 1” and “Part 2”) [1 - 2]. Part 2 in particular describes the impact of changes in cell design that have resulted in low-voltage PFCs becoming more prevalent in modern aluminium reduction cells.

Perfluorocarbons (PFC) – in particular tetrafluoromethane (CF₄) and hexafluoroethane (C₂F₆) – are potent GHGs with extremely long lifetimes in the atmosphere (50 000 and 10 000 years, respectively) and with high global warming potentials (GWP over a 100-year period) at 6 630 and 11 100 times the equivalent of CO₂, respectively [3]. While very low trace levels of PFC do occur naturally (in the range of ~ 0.1 - 10 t CF₄/y), anthropogenic sources of emissions are 3 to 5 orders of magnitude greater (~ 11 000 t CF₄/y) [4].

The two major anthropogenic sources of PFCs are primary production of aluminium and manufacture of semi-conductors/electronics; other sources include industrial rare-earth metal smelting (with a similar fluoride-salt electrochemical process to aluminium smelting), production of fluorochemicals (e.g., HFC, HCFC), waterproofing of circuit-boards and textiles [5].

1.1. PFCs from Aluminium Smelting

In the aluminium reduction cell, PFCs occur when the electrical potential across the anode E_{anode} exceeds the threshold that enables PFC co-evolution, i.e. the reversible potential $E_{\text{reversible}}$ for reactions that generate PFCs. Typical anode reactions are summarised below (gas products in bold) – for more details, refer to [1 - 2]:

- Reactions in normal aluminium reduction (equations 1 and 2) are enabled when E_{anode} exceeds 1.07 - 1.19 V
- Reactions for PFC co-evolution (producing COF₂, or CO and CF₄) enabled once E_{anode} exceeds 1.83 - 1.89 V (equations 3 and 4)
- Reactions for direct or independent PFC co-evolution are enabled at much higher anode potentials, at $E_{\text{anode}} > 2.59$ V (equations 5 and 6).

<i>Typical reactions in normal aluminium electrolysis:</i>	$E_{\text{reversible}}(\text{V})$	Eqn.
$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3(\text{s}) + 3\text{C} = 3\text{CO}(\text{g}) + 2\text{Al}(\text{l})$	1.07	(1)
$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3(\text{s}) + 1.5\text{C} = 1.5\text{CO}_2(\text{g}) + 2\text{Al}(\text{l})$	1.19	(2)

<i>Reactions with PFCs co-evolved:</i>	$E_{\text{reversible}}(\text{V})$	Eqn.
$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{C} + 2\text{Na}_3\text{AlF}_6 = 3\text{COF}_2(\text{g}) + 4\text{Al}(\text{l}) + 6\text{NaF}(\text{l})$	1.89	(3)
$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 4.5\text{C} + 2\text{Na}_3\text{AlF}_6 = 3\text{CO}(\text{g}) + 1.5\text{CF}_4(\text{g}) + 4\text{Al}(\text{l}) + 6\text{NaF}(\text{l})$	1.83	(4)

<i>Reactions with directly PFCs co-evolved, at higher potentials:</i>	$E_{\text{reversible}}(\text{V})$	Eqn.
$3\text{C} + 4\text{Na}_3\text{AlF}_6 = 3\text{CF}_4(\text{g}) + 4\text{Al}(\text{l}) + 12\text{NaF}(\text{l})$	2.59	(5)
$2\text{C} + 2\text{Na}_3\text{AlF}_6(\text{l}) = \text{C}_2\text{F}_6(\text{g}) + 2\text{Al}(\text{l}) + 6\text{NaF}(\text{l})$	2.75	(6)

Note that the COF₂ in equation (3) *spontaneously* reacts with anode carbon to form CF₄ gas:
 $2\text{COF}_2(\text{g}) + \text{C} = 2\text{CO}(\text{g}) + \text{CF}_4(\text{g})$ $G_{1233\text{ K}} = -45.77\text{ kJ}$ (7)

To enable each reaction, extra entropic energy TΔS is required in addition to the $E_{\text{reversible}}$ to *complete* the formation of gas products at the anode – this can be supplied either electrically by anode overpotential or through joule heating. This is discussed in further depth by Welch et al. [1-2].

1.2. PFCs from Anode Effects

Historically the aluminium industry considered that ‘anode effects’ were good for the cells as they helped “clean up the sludge/muck” in the cell, which tended to accumulate through centre- or side-breaking and feeding a mass of alumina in excess of that able to dissolve it. Until approaching the turn of the century, operating control logic scheduled regular anode effects – such as once per week – to bring about this benefit. In the absence of sensitive gas analysis

5. Conclusions

Recent developments in modern aluminium cells means that the past definitions and assumptions of when PFCs are generated in cells have had to be challenged and re-assessed. The knowledge that PFCs can be generated during normal operating voltages (< 8 V) is now being taken into account in the latest 2019 IPCC guidelines for GHG accounting (now accepted by the IPCC, but yet to be adopted by the UNFCCC for implementation by governmental bodies). As anode effect emissions are better addressed, low voltage emissions will pose the next challenge to the smelting industry.

This all points to an industry need for:

- A new approach to signal processing to achieve optimum benefit of modern cell technologies and for better scientific understanding of the process.
- Faster response to indicators of potential approach to PFC coevolution (such as EGA's 'near-AE' logic) in order to prevent, or shorten the duration of the occasional AEs,
- Implementation of advanced control logic that accounts for and minimises the impact of spatial variations in the cell that are inevitable.
- Redesign of equipment and layout used for alumina feeding to increase their reliability and flexibility.

These will all drive reductions in total PFC emissions with further benefits to cell performance and smelter KPIs.

6. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their appreciation to the IPCC 'working group' of Co-ordinating Lead Authors, Contributing Authors, Review Editors and all others who contributed to the updated PFC guidelines for aluminium in the *2019 IPCC Refinements* – including Jerry Marks, Simon Gaboury, Deborah Otinger, Bofeng Cai, Pavel Shermanau, Glen Thistlethwaite, Xiping Chen and Bo Xin. We also acknowledge the contribution of all members of the aluminium community – particularly the IAI's PFC Working Group – who have provided their input, technical expertise, facility data and published work on anode effects and total PFCs, without which an updated guidance on PFCs would have no scientific basis. Special thanks also to Alton Tabereaux who started the primary author's journey into the realm of PFCs.

7. References

1. Barry Welch, Mark Dorreen and David Wong, Linking electrochemistry, modern aluminium cell design and operating conditions, for a better understanding of anode reactions and various levels of PFC co-evolution, Part 1: Changes in cell reactions as raw materials and cell designs have evolved. Paper to be presented at the *37th Conference and Exhibition ICSOBA 2019*, September 16-20, 2019, Krasnoyarsk, Russia.
2. Barry Welch and David Wong, Linking electrochemistry, modern aluminium cell design and operating conditions, for a better understanding of anode reactions and various levels of PFC co-evolution, Part 2: The impact of cell design and process conditions on energy utilization and PFC emissions, Paper to be presented at the *37th Conference and Exhibition ICSOBA 2019*, September 16-20, 2019, Krasnoyarsk, Russia.
3. G.D. Myhre et al., Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing, in *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (AR5)*, ed. T.F. Stocker et al., (2013), Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK & New York, USA.

4. J. Mühle et al., Perfluorocarbons in the global atmosphere: tetrafluoromethane, hexafluoroethane, and octafluoropropane, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, Vol. 10, pp. 5145–5164, (2010).
5. IPCC Task Force on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, *Report of IPCC scoping meeting for a Methodology Report(s) to refine the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*, Report of the IPCC Scoping Meeting, ed. S. Ngarize et al. 2016, IGES: Japan.
6. Alton Tabereaux, Nolan E. Richards and C.E. Satchel, Composition of reduction cell anode gas during normal conditions and anode effects, *Light Metals* 1995, 325-333.
7. R. Bunsen, *Annag.*, Vol. 92, p. 251, (1854).
8. International Aluminium Institute, *Results of the 2017 Anode Effect Survey: Report on the aluminium industry's global perfluorocarbon gas emissions*, London, (2018).
9. Jerry Marks and Chris Bayliss, GHG measurement and inventory for aluminum production, *Light Metals*, 2012 805-808.
10. Wangxing Li et al., Latest results from PFC investigation in China. *Light Metals* 2012, 619-622.
11. Jerry Marks and Pernelle Nunez, Updated factors for calculating PFC emissions from primary aluminum production, *Light Metals* 2018, 1519-1525.
12. David Wong et al., PFC emissions from detected versus nondetected anode effects in the aluminum industry. *JOM* 2015, Vol 67, Issue 2, 342-353.
13. Jooil Kim et al., Quantifying aluminum and semiconductor industry perfluorocarbon emissions from atmospheric measurements, *Geophysical Research Letters*, Vol 41, 4787–4794, (2014).
14. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Volume 3 - Industrial Processes and Product Use, Chapter 4, Section 4.4 - Primary Aluminium Production, in *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*, ed. A.R. Jamidu H. Y. Katima, IGES: Hayama, Japan, 4.49-4.57, (2006).
15. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Volume 3 - Industrial Processes and Product Use, Chapter 4, Section 4.4 - Primary Aluminium Production, in *2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*, pp. 4.40-4.64, available: <https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2019rf/index.html>, accessed July 1, 2019, (2019).
16. Lukas Dion et al., Evaluation of time consistency when quantifying emissions of perfluorocarbons resulting from low voltage anode effects, *Light Metals* 2018, 1457-1462.
17. David Wong et al., PFCs from the chinese aluminium sector—Challenges in emissions accounting and further characteristics. *Light Metals* 2018, 1527-1535.
18. Lukas Dion et al., Quantification of perfluorocarbons emissions during high voltage anode effects using non-linear approach, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2017, 164, 357-366.
19. Jerry Marks and Pernelle Nunez, New algorithm for calculating CF₄ emissions from high voltage anode effects. *Light Metals* 2018, 1479-1485.
20. Lukas Dion et al., Universal approach to estimate perfluorocarbons emissions during individual high-voltage anode effect for prebaked cell technologies. *JOM*, 2018, Vol 70, Issue 9, 1887-1892.
21. US Environmental Protection Agency & International Aluminium Institute. Protocol for measurement of tetrafluoromethane (CF₄) and hexafluoroethane (C₂F₆) emissions from primary aluminum production, 42 pages, (2008).
22. Luis Espinoza-Nava et al., QCL-based perfluorocarbon emission monitoring, *Light Metals* 2016, 541-544.

23. Paul Fraser, Paul Steele and Mark Cooksey (CSIRO), PFC and carbon dioxide emissions from an Australian aluminium smelter using time-integrated stack sampling and GC-MS, GC-FID analysis, *Light Metals* 2013, 871-876.
24. Eliezer Batista et al., Low voltage PFC measurements and potential alternative to reduce them at Alcoa smelters, *Light Metals* 2018, 1463-1467.
25. David G.E. Davies et al, Practical approach of detecting bath in a reduction cell, Proceedings from the 11th Australasian Aluminium Smelting Technology Conference, Dubai, 6 - 11 December 2014, Paper 17T2.
26. Barry A. Sadler, Anode manufacturing technology: improvements since 2004 and implications of potential changes in the next 10 years. Proceedings of the 11th Australasian Aluminium Smelting Technology Conference, Dubai, UAE, 6 - 11 December, 2014, Paper 02Key1.
27. Otavio Fortini, Hall cell energy recovery and anode pre-heating, gauging the opportunity, *JOM*, 2011, Vol 63, Issue 8, 127-131.
28. M. Dupuis and B. Welch, Designing cells for the future – Wider and/or even higher amperage?, *ALUMINIUM*, 1 - 2, 2017.
29. David Wong, Alton Tabereaux and Pascal Lavoie, Anode effect phenomena during conventional AEs, low voltage propagating AEs and non-propagating AEs, *Light Metals* 2014, 529-534.
30. Abdalla Zarouni et al., A study of low voltage PFC emissions at DUBAL, *Light Metals* 2013, 859-863.
31. Andrew Wilson, Mark Illingworth, Mike Pearman, Anode effect prediction and pre-emptive treatment at Pacific Aluminium, Proceedings of the 12th Australasian Aluminium Smelting Technology Conference, Queenstown, New Zealand, 2018, Paper 4c3.
32. Jeffrey Keniry and Eugene Shaidulin, Anode signal analysis – the next generation in reduction cell control, *Light Metals* 2008, 287-292.
33. Daniel A. Steingart et al. Experiments on wireless measurement of anode currents in Hall cells, *Light Metals* 2008, 333-338.
34. Hans Erik Vatne (Norsk Hydro ASA), Smelter of the Future, Presentation at the TMS Annual Meeting: 2019 Light Metals Keynote Session, 11th March 2019, San Antonio, Texas.
35. Lukas Dion et al., Prediction of low-voltage tetrafluoromethane emissions based on the operating conditions of an aluminium electrolysis cell, *JOM*, 2016, Vol 68, No 9, pp. 2472-2482.
36. Yuchen Yao et al., Estimation of spatial alumina concentration in an aluminum reduction cell using a multilevel state observer, *AIChE Journal*, 2017, Vol. 63, No. 7, 2806-2818.
37. Jing Shi et al. Advanced feeding control of the aluminium reduction process, Proceedings of the 12th Australasian Aluminium Smelting Technology Conference, Queenstown, New Zealand, 2018, Paper 4c2.